Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/589,191	ARIAV ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vincent Q. Nguyen	2831
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Vincent Q. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Martin Mounihan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 February 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: The claims 1 and 11 are broad enough read on few prior arts		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 11, 12, 15		
Prior art documents discussed: Bischoff et al.(3,771,568); Baumoel (5,271,267),		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN If Applicant amend the claims 1 and 11 to add the limitations of allowed. Claim 15 is informality due to typographical error. It	of claim 2 into claim 1 and claim 12 i	into claim 11, the case would be
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writter e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Vincent Q. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2831	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)